

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Fatent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/325,533

06/03/99

HITCHCOCK

M P-01754-US1

TM01/0612

MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG

TM01/0612

SHAH.S

MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG P.O. BOX 164140 AUSTIN TX 78716-4140

2172

DATE MAILED:

ART UNIT

06/12/01

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/325,533

A cant(s)

Hitchcock et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Sanjiv Shah

Art Unit 2172

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	MONTHYSYEDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ţ
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. 	(N1
communication.	atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 3</u> ,	1999
Za/ Tillo doller to Tillo La	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-55</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-55</u>	
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)□disapproved.
12) 🕅 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 0.0.0. § 110(a) (a).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	. h
Certified copies of the priority documents h	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No.
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	documents have been received in this National Stage reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not received.
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
14) M. Ackilowiedgement is made of a claim for demos	
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	40) Theories Summary (DTO 413) Daner Mo(s)
	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
16) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/325,533

Art Unit: 2172

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed on 2/13/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed on 2/13/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Oath/Declaration

3. A new oath or declaration is required because the citizenship of one of the inventor is amended. The wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended. If the wording is not correct or if all of the required affirmations have not been made or if it has not been properly subscribed to, a new oath or declaration is required. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/325,533

Art Unit: 2172

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has more than one paragraph. Abstract should be one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scharmer (Patent # 5,640,577).

Regarding claims 1, 21, 32, 40 and 45, Scharmer et al. teaches the claimed invention of creating and processing forms representing applications as shown in fig 2A.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/325,533

Art Unit: 2172

creating a customized first form in response to user request and providing it to the user, entering the information in the information field is described in col. 5, lines 6-20.

posting the application on the server and storing the applicant information in the database is described in col. 2, lines 29-44 and col 5, lines 40-48.

Creating a second customized form for second applications program as described in col. 5, lines 60-67.

automatically inserting into some of the data fields of the second application from the data storage is described in col. 2, lines 50-65.

entering applicant information in the fields not automatically inserted is described in col. 6, lines 1-8.

posting second application from the server is described in col. 6, lines 37-46.

Scharmer teaches a forms for an applications as described in col. 2, lines 59-64. However it does not specifically teach an application for admission to the institution over the network. It is well known in the art to use a electronic form for admission to the institution over the network. An official notice is taken into this regard.

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a well known method of creating an application form for admission to institution in the method of Scharmer because it provides online access to various institutions thus aiding in admission process.

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Scharmer et al. teaches the claimed invention of generating a form according to stored preferences (see col. 2, lines 35-37) and modify the form without modifying the program. (see col. 4, lines 35-45).

Regarding claims 4, 5, 15, 22, 29, 33, 34, 43, 44, 48, 54 and 55, Scharmer et al. teaches the claimed invention of verifying the predefined criteria and information as described in col. 5, lines 41-47. The name and number is interpreted as the brand of institution as claimed.

Regarding claims 6, 14 and 27, Scharmer et al. teaches the claimed invention of predefined format as described in col. 2, lines 58-65.

Regarding claims, 7, 8 and 28, Scharmer teaches the claimed invention of online application analysis by various personnel as described in col. 7, lines 1-25.

Regarding claim 10, Scharmer teaches the claimed invention of paying application fees as described in col. 6, lines 37-50.

Regarding claims 9, 11, 12, 16, 25, 26, 41, and 47, Scharmer teaches the claimed invention of separately storing the elements for later retrieval as described in col. 5, lines 32-35.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,533 Page 6

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 13, Scharmer et al. teaches a form as shown in fig 3 and 4.Labels are shown as elements, Name, Addr, etc. The different fields are shown in fig 3A and 4A. Storing the information is independent of label.

Regarding claims 17, 30, 31, 35, 36, 42, 52 and 53, Scharmer teaches a data storage in a database as described in col. 5, lines 41-45. A use of relational database and XML is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious of a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a relational database because it provides continuation information.

Regarding claim 18-20, 37-39 and 49-51, Scharmer et al. teaches the claimed invention of metadata as described in col. 4, Table I, element R, C, L.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Scharmer et al. teaches a user definable function selector which is equivalent to claimed menus. Labels and menus is shown in fig 4B.

Regarding claim 46, Scharmer et al. teaches the method of overwriting with new values as described in col. 7, lines 53-63.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv Shah whose telephone number is (703) 305-8355.

Art Unit: 2172

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kim Yen Vu can be reached at (703) 305-4393. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-5403.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Sanjiv Shah

June 9, 2001.

SANJIV SHAH

A. U. 2172